

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
10/614,237	07/08/2003	Keisuke Matsuki	030822	6166
23850 7.	590 11/01/2004		EXAMINER	
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP			TOLAN, EDWARD THOMAS	
1725 K STREE SUITE 1000	ET, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	N, DC 20006		3725	

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	·	
	10/614,237	MATSUKI, KEISUKE	JKI, KEISUKE	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Tolan Edward	3725		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fruite, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  om the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Ele	ection, 9-27-2004.			
· · ·	nis action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	rance except for formal matters, p	prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims			•	
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and according a specific and a specific	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ents have been received.  ents have been received in Applic iority documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/614,237

Art Unit: 3725

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's arguments and amendment of claim 3 in the Election of 9-27-04 are accepted, Group I and Group II claims 1-3 will be examined together in these proceedings. The restriction of the previous Office Action is hereby withdrawn.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
Kilinskas et al. (4,296,512) in view of Badger et al. (5,947,827). Kilinskas discloses the
manufacture of a high strength bolt or screw (column 4, lines 1-10) from a wire material
having a tensile strength in excess of 1100 Mpa. The wire material is lubricated
(column 4, lines 41-45). Following the preparation of the wire material slugs of the
material are cold formed (column 5, lines 24-60) into bolt blanks. In column 2, lines 3538 Kilinskas discloses a degree of working defined in terms of a natural logarithm in
terms of length (area) reduction. In column 5, lines 41-43 and column 6, lines 40-46
Kilinskas discloses degrees of working. Kilinskas does not disclose threading of the bolt
blanks. Badger teaches that it is known to thread austenitic steel bolts. It would have
been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to thread the bolt blanks of
Kilinskas as taught by Badger in order to create a threaded useable product.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,237

Art Unit: 3725

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ed Tolan whose telephone number is 703-305-3021.

ETT 10-26-04

ED TOLAN PRIMARY EXAMINER